

Accreditation Report Rubric

For Non-Clinical Physical Therapist Residency and Fellowship Programs

Please note: The Accreditation Report Rubric is accessed and completed through ABPTRFE's Accreditation Management System. This paper format is provided for reference purposes only.

Background

ABPTRFE's accreditation process is grounded in the fundamental principle of peer-review that enables other physical therapists and higher education faculty and administrators to conduct reviews of residency and fellowship programs on behalf of participants. The accreditation process is guided by transparent standards that are collaboratively established by professional peers and member programs.

The initial accreditation process provides ABPTRFE an opportunity to engage in a multi-level review beginning with the Candidacy Review Council. The Candidacy Review Council evaluates a program's Self-Evaluation Report and Exhibits, making recommendations to ABPTRFE on the level of a program's preparedness to undergo a comprehensive onsite visit.

Following a grant of candidacy, residency and fellowship programs prepare for the onsite visit by revising the Self-Evaluation Report and Exhibits based on the feedback received from the Candidacy Review Council through this Accreditation Report Rubric. The onsite team uses this same rubric to determine whether the program successfully implemented and fully demonstrates compliance with the ABPTRFE Quality Standards before making recommendations to the program and ABPTRFE.

For residency and fellowship programs undergoing renewal of accreditation, the site team uses this Accreditation Report Rubric to document a program's ongoing compliance with the ABPTRFE Quality Standards through a review of a program's Self-Evaluation Report, Exhibits, and site visit, before making recommendations to the program and ABPTRFE.

Throughout each step, residency and fellowship programs are provided an opportunity to respond and demonstrate full compliance with any "Needs Improvement" or "Inadequate" findings prior to ABPTRFE's review and making a final accreditation decision.

The Team Lead of the site team is responsible for guiding the site visit in accordance with ABPTRFE's Processes and Procedures and ensures that team members complete their tasks during the site visit.

Self-Evaluation Report (SER)

The Self-Evaluation Report tells a story about the residency or fellowship program, beginning with its history and mission then focusing on its current state and future. Programs present their passion for offering a quality curriculum that provides physical therapists with the knowledge, skills, and affective behaviors to enhance the practice of physical therapy. Programs craft their story using the Self-Evaluation Report template and Exhibits as a guide while narratively describing how their policies and procedures meet the intent of the ABPTRFE Quality Standards.

Instructions

Candidacy Review

Candidacy Review Council: ABPTRFE appoints members to the Candidacy Review Council who are responsible for completing comprehensive reviews of programs seeking candidacy status. Council members use the Accreditation Report Rubric to complete an initial evaluation on whether the program already “Meets Expectations” or is likely to meet expectations once granted candidacy. The Candidacy Review Council uses the Accreditation Report Rubric to provide an initial determination and provide detailed feedback to developing programs on the steps they need to take to demonstrate full compliance with the ABPTRFE Quality Standards.

The Candidacy Review Council member completes all rubric content indicated in **blue** and provides detailed feedback under “Required Actions” for any finding of “Needs Improvement” or “Inadequate”. The Candidacy Review Council member completes the “Comments” to provide general guiding feedback to programs on what they are doing well or areas they may consider for further enhancement or improvement—as part of the value in the peer-review process. Rubric content in **green** is for **site visit completion only**.

Candidacy Absolutes: ABPTRFE identified a subset of its Quality Standards as absolute that a program seeking candidacy must meet through narrative responses and relevant evidence.

A program seeking candidacy must attest to, and demonstrate, within the Self-Evaluation Report and Exhibits that it meets each absolute.

ABPTRFE will suspend consideration of any program that does not meet all absolutes following the Candidacy Review Process.

****While programs must complete the entire SER, those Key Elements in red font denotes Candidacy Absolutes.***

Defined Terms: Those terms underlined within the ABPTRFE Quality Standards and corresponding Self-Evaluation Report are defined in the Glossary of Terms within the [ABPTRFE Processes and Procedures](#).

Site Visit Review

Site Team: ABPTRFE staff appoints individuals to serve on site teams. Each team member completes the Accreditation Report Rubric based on their respective team roles:

- Team Lead: Compiles the team's reports to provide ABPTRFE with a clear representation of the program's compliance with published Quality Standards. Submits Accreditation Report Rubric to ABPTRFE staff four weeks following the site visit.
- Program Administration/Outcomes: responsible for comprehensively reviewing Quality Standards 1, 4, and 5. Submits Accreditation Report Rubric to the team lead two weeks following the site visit.
- Practice Area Expert: responsible for comprehensively reviewing Quality Standards 2 and 3. Submits Accreditation Report Rubric to the team lead two weeks following the site visit.

The site team completes all rubric content in both **blue** and **green**. The rubric items in green are only reviewed during the site visit.

Finding Guidelines

- **Exceeds Expectations:** a team member may indicate a finding of exceeds expectations for key elements where programs demonstrate they go beyond the minimum intent of the Quality Standards.
- **Meets Expectations:** a team member may indicate a finding of meets expectations for key elements where programs demonstrate they meet the minimum intent of the Quality Standards.

- **Needs Improvement:** a team member may indicate a finding of needs improvement for key elements where programs demonstrate they partially meet the minimum intent of the Quality Standards.
- **Inadequate:** a team member may indicate a finding of inadequate for key elements where programs demonstrate they do not meet the minimum intent of the Quality Standards.

For all findings of “needs improvement” or “inadequate”, the Council and team members are required to indicate the required actions necessary for the program to demonstrate compliance with the deficient key element. Each required action must relate directly back to a Quality Standard or key element.

For required actions, all statements should begin with, “[Insert Name of Program] needs to [insert the action necessary for the program to demonstrate compliance with the Quality Standard or key element.]”

As part of the peer review process, it is important that programs receive suggestions for improving their curriculum and support services. The accreditation process allows programs to benefit from an external review and perspective. Site team members are encouraged to provide suggestions within the report. Suggestions are those recommendations that are not required to meet minimum Quality Standards but are provided to programs as an opportunity for growth and improvement.

For suggestions/comments, all statements should begin with, “[Insert Name of Program] may want to consider [insert the recommendation for improvement].”

The team lead is ultimately responsible for making final evaluations on whether programs demonstrate compliance with Quality Standards and whether suggestions/comments are appropriate for inclusion in the final Accreditation Report Rubric. It is within the team lead’s discretion to change a determination as necessary based on programs’ response and evidence presented during the site visit.

Helpful Tips

- All required actions and comments should be objectively written in third person, narrative format using declarative sentences and simple verbs. The Accreditation Report Rubric should avoid broad generalities and speculative views.

- The Accreditation Report Rubric represents accurate, concise, factual, and thorough presentation of the findings during a candidacy and site visit evaluation.
- When making an overall determination whether programs exceed, meet, need improvement, or are inadequate, the Accreditation Report Rubric should cite evidence of documents reviewed during candidacy or site which led to the specific finding, include specific examples.
- The Accreditation Report Rubric documents attributes and deficiencies using language found in the Quality Standards and key elements. All deficiencies must be documented.
- The Accreditation Report Rubric should focus on identifying the required action necessary for programs to provide evidence or demonstrate compliance with the Quality Standards or key elements. Programs bear the responsibility of demonstrating compliance with the ABPTRFE Quality Standards.
- The Accreditation Report Rubric accurately presents comments, required actions, and suggestions using direct quotations, references, data, and examples from evidence presented or team members' reports.
- The Accreditation Report Rubric should not reference individual team members' reports or contain supporting exhibits.
- The Accreditation Report Rubric does not make recommendations to ABPTRFE concerning the overall accreditation of programs.

Program Assessment

- A. Describe the program's preparedness to undergo this ABPTRFE self-evaluation (accreditation) process, including the engagement of all relevant stakeholders (sponsoring organization, program leadership, etc.).

Insert Response

- B. Describe the program's process in gathering information and submitting the self-evaluation report (SER) and associated Exhibits, including details on the involvement of the program director, faculty, and staff (identify individuals by name and title) in the process.

Insert Response

Program Profile

- A. Briefly describe the program's history and why it was developed.

Insert Response

- B. For Re-Accreditation only, describe any major changes since the program's most recent accreditation review (e.g., admissions, faculty, enrollment, curriculum, or marketing).

Insert Response

- C. For Re-Accreditation only, describe how the program continues to address areas identified within any Progress Report or Enhancement Report findings from the program's most recent grant of accreditation, or any other Board correspondence.

Insert Response

Program Organization

- A. Describe the program's organizational structure.

Insert Response

- B. List the number of affiliated practice sites.

Insert Response

- C. If more than one practice site, indicate if **EVERY** participant rotates to **EVERY** site.

Choose an item.

Non-Clinical Quality Standards

Quality Standard 1: Mission, Goals, and Outcomes

Residency/Fellowship programs' mission communicates the advancing education offered to increase a physical therapist's efficiency and improve outcomes. The mission identifies the program's defined area of practice and promotes excellence in the field of physical therapy education by graduating competent specialty practitioners. The mission guides the program's operations and future growth. The program's goals direct the efforts necessary for continued viability. The program's outcomes identify the knowledge and competencies participants gain upon program completion. Key indicators demonstrate the achievement of the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.

QUALITY STANDARD I KEY ELEMENTS: A residency/fellowship program meets this quality standard through the effective implementation and consistent documentation of the following key elements:

- 1.1 The mission statement communicates the program's purpose and commitment to providing quality advanced education to physical therapists in a defined area of practice.
- 1.2 The program's mission statement aligns with the sponsoring organization's mission statement.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Easily measurable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Measurable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not easily measurable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not measurable.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearly communicates program's purpose.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Communicates program's purpose.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unclear on the program's purpose.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not communicate the program's purpose.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearly identifies the defined area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Identifies the defined area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unclear on the defined area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not identify a defined area of practice.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearly communicates commitment to providing quality advanced	<input type="checkbox"/>	Implies commitment to providing quality advanced education to	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unclear commitment to providing quality advanced education to	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not communicate the program's commitment to providing quality

	education to physical therapists.		physical therapists.		physical therapists.		advanced education to physical therapists.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Promotes growth, continuous improvement, and strategic initiatives.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Establishes guidelines for growth, continuous improvement, and strategic initiatives.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently supports growth, continuous improvement, and strategic initiatives.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not support growth, continuous improvement, or strategic initiatives.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supports the sponsoring organization's mission statement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Aligns with the sponsoring organization's mission statement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistent with the sponsoring organization's mission statement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not align with the sponsoring organization's mission statement.
Exhibit Reference:		Exhibit 2 – Mission and Goals Chart					
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

1.3 The program identifies goals that are reflective of the defined area of practice. The program goals support the achievement of the mission and communicate the ongoing efforts necessary to support continued sustainability.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearly reflects the defined area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reflects the defined area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Somewhat reflects the defined area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not reflect the defined area of practice.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Well-balanced general aims or purposes of the	<input type="checkbox"/>	Describes the general aims or purposes of the	<input type="checkbox"/>	Describes the general aims or purposes of	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not describe the general aims or

	program's administration and curriculum.		program's administration and curriculum.		either the program's administration or curriculum.		purposes of the program's administration and curriculum.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Broadly stated, meaningful , and achievable .	<input type="checkbox"/>	Broadly stated.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Overly specific.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Narrowly focused.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Lead to clearly measurable outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Lead to measurable outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not easily measurable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not measurable.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearly evident framework with specific criteria for determining program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Framework used with general criteria for determining program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Framework is unclear in determining program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Framework not used to determine program outcomes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supports the mission.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reflects the mission.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistent with the mission.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not aligned with the mission.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Informs curriculum development, continuous improvement efforts, financial stability, strategic planning , and program sustainability.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Informs curriculum development, continuous improvement efforts, financial stability, and program sustainability.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not communicate ongoing efforts to support curriculum development, continuous improvement efforts, financial stability, or program sustainability.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not support curriculum development, continuous improvement efforts, financial stability, and program sustainability.
Exhibit Reference:		Exhibit 2 – Mission and Goals Chart					
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

1.4 The program develops outcomes that identify measurable behaviors reflective of the defined area of practice which describe the knowledge, skills, and affective behaviors participants gain upon completion of the program.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearly reflects the defined area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Reflects the defined area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Somewhat reflects the defined area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not reflect the defined area of practice.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Aligns with and supports achievement of the mission and goals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Supports achievement of the mission and goals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently supports achievement of the mission and goals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not support achievement of the mission and goals.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearly identifies the knowledge, skills, and affective behaviors participants achieve.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Identifies the knowledge, skills, and affective behaviors participants achieve.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Somewhat identifies the knowledge, skills, and affective behaviors participants achieve.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not adequately identify the knowledge, skills, and affective behaviors participants achieve.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Concise and specific.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Clear and specific.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Overly broad.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Overly comprehensive and not specific.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearly measurable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Measurable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Somewhat measurable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not measurable.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Readily observable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Observable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Somewhat observable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not observable.
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Focus on learning outcomes rather than curriculum inputs.			<input type="checkbox"/>	Focus on curriculum inputs.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reflects a single, focused outcome rather than combine	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mostly reflects a single outcome within each statement rather	<input type="checkbox"/>	Majority of program outcome statements	<input type="checkbox"/>	Combines multiple outcomes within single

	multiple outcomes supporting clearly measurable outcome statements.		than combining multiple outcomes that is readily measurable.		combine multiple outcomes within single statements making it difficult to measure.		statements that results in inability to effectively measure.
Exhibit Reference:		Exhibit 3 – Assessment Table					
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

1.5 The program identifies key indicators it uses to annually monitor and measure the achievement of the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. Key indicators form the basis for evaluating participant performance and determining program effectiveness.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearly identifies key indicators that correspond to mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Identifies key indicators that correspond to mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Majority of key indicators correspond to mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Some key indicators correspond to mission, goals, and outcomes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Concise and well-defined.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Clear and well-defined.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Somewhat defined.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unclear.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Easily measurable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Measurable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Somewhat measurable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not measurable.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Clearly identifies quantitative and qualitative metrics that	<input type="checkbox"/>	Identifies quantitative or qualitative metrics that corresponds to key indicators.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Identifies quantitative or qualitative metrics that correspond to	<input type="checkbox"/>	Metrics do not correspond to key indicators.

	corresponds to key indicators.				some key indicators.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Data is regularly collected and evaluated throughout the year.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data annually collected and evaluated.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data is intermittently collected and evaluated.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Minimal data is collected and evaluated.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Data supports efficient evaluation of participant performance.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data supports evaluation of participant performance.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data is somewhat sufficient for evaluating participant performance.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data is insufficient for evaluating participant performance.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Data results in clear evidence of program effectiveness.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data results in evidence of program effectiveness.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data is somewhat sufficient evidence of program effectiveness.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data is insufficient evidence of program effectiveness.
Exhibit Reference:		Exhibit 2 – Mission and Goals Chart Exhibit 3 – Assessment Table					
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

Quality Standard 2: Curriculum Design and Instruction

Residency/Fellowship programs focus on the advancement of physical therapist knowledge and practice. Curriculum design focuses the knowledge, skills, and affective behaviors the participant gains in the defined area of practice that may include, but is not limited to, teaching, research, service, scholarly inquiry, governance and policy, leadership, management, ethical and legal issues. Curriculum design enhances professional competence and emphasizes one-to-one mentoring. Curriculum development follows a structured, systematic process that ensures content validity in a defined area of practice. The curriculum

allows the participant to achieve the program's outcomes through advancing professional competence. The curriculum integrates a variety of educational methods that support the theoretical basis for advanced practice and is supported by best evidence.

QUALITY STANDARD 2 KEY ELEMENTS: A residency/fellowship program meets this quality standard through the effective implementation and consistent documentation of the following key elements:

2.1 Curriculum Development: The program's comprehensive curriculum is developed from and addresses the most recent version of the Description of Residency Practice (DRP) or the Description of Fellowship Practice (DFP). All curriculum components complement each other to enhance the participant's learning. The program's curriculum organization ensures congruency between didactic and experiential components. The curriculum provides a structure for the designation of types, lengths, and sequencing of learning experiences that ensures the achievement of the program's outcomes.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Developed and aligned with the most recent DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Developed from the most recent DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently developed from the most recent DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not developed from the most recent DRP or DFP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Curriculum components clearly complement each other to enhance participant learning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Curriculum components complement each other to enhance participant learning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Curriculum components primarily complement each other and somewhat enhances participant's learning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Curriculum components do not complement each other and do not enhance participant learning.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Organization of didactic and experiential components logically coincide to support effective learning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Organization of didactic and experiential components support effective learning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Organization of didactic and experiential components somewhat support learning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Organization of didactic and experiential components do not effectively support learning.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Curriculum structure is optimized to support achievement of program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Curriculum structure supports achievement of program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Curriculum structure somewhat supports achievement of program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Curriculum structure does not support achievement of program outcomes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Structure optimizes designation types, lengths, and sequencing of learning experiences in a logical order that ensures achievement of program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Structure designates types, lengths, and sequences of learning experiences in an established sequence that ensures achievement of program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Majority of types, lengths, and sequencing of learning experiences directly supports achievement of program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Designation types, lengths, and sequencing of learning experiences do not support the achievement of program outcomes.
Exhibit Reference:		Exhibit 3 – Assessment Table					
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

2.1.1 **Program Structure:** The didactic and experiential curriculum permits participants to gain mentored experience as characterized by the Description of Residency Practice (DRP) or the Description of Fellowship Practice (DFP).

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Didactic and experiential curriculum optimizes participants'	<input type="checkbox"/>	Didactic and experiential curriculum promotes participants'	<input type="checkbox"/>	Didactic and experiential curriculum provides participants	<input type="checkbox"/>	Didactic and experiential curriculum does not allow sufficient

	experience with diverse practice activities as characterized in the DRP or DFP.		experience with diverse practice activities as characterized in the DRP or DFP.		limited experience with diverse practice activities as characterized by the DRP or DFP.		experience with diverse practice activities as characterized by the DRP or DFP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Didactic and experiential curriculum integrates exposure to a range of complexity as characterized in the DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Didactic and experiential curriculum promotes exposure to a range of complexity as characterized in the DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Didactic and experiential curriculum provides limited exposure to a range of complexity as characterized in the DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Didactic and experiential curriculum does not allow sufficient exposure to a range of complexity as characterized in the DRP or DFP.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

2.1.2 **Educational Methods:** The program integrates a variety of educational methods, traditional or innovative, to ensure the participant's advancing level of mastery. Educational methods are appropriate to each of the curriculum content areas and reflective of the program outcomes.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program integrates a variety of educational methods, traditional or innovative, that enhances the	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program integrates a variety of educational methods, traditional or innovative, to promote the	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program integrates limited variety of educational methods to promote the participant's	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not integrate a variety of educational methods to promote the participant's

	participant's advancing level of mastery.		participant's advancing level of mastery.		advancing level of mastery.		advancing level of mastery.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Educational methods optimize the curriculum content areas.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Educational methods are appropriate to the curriculum content areas.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Educational methods are somewhat appropriate to the curriculum content areas.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Educational methods are not comprehensively appropriate to the curriculum content areas.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Educational methods are aligned and support the program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Educational methods reflect all program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Educational methods reflect a majority, but not all the program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Educational methods do not reflect the program outcomes.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

2.1.3 **Assessments:** The program implements assessments designed to evaluate the participant's performance based on established measures. The program's formative and summative methods evaluate the participant's mastery of curriculum content based on performance measures and feedback provided in a timely manner. A variety of assessments evaluate the participant's initial and advancing levels of knowledge, practice, application of best evidence, and competence as characterized in the Description of Residency Practice (DRP) or the Description of Fellowship Practice (DFP). At a minimum, one written examination and two performance-based evaluations are required throughout the program.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Assessments evaluate the level achieved of the	<input type="checkbox"/>	Assessments evaluate the participant's performance	<input type="checkbox"/>	A majority of assessments evaluate the participant's	<input type="checkbox"/>	Assessments somewhat evaluate the participant's

	participant's performance based on established measures.		based on established measures.		performance based on identified measures.		performance and are not based on identified measures.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program designs meaningful formative and summative methods to evaluate the participant's mastery of the curriculum.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program designs effective formative and summative methods to evaluate the participant's mastery of the curriculum.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program designs formative or summative methods that ineffectively evaluate the participant's mastery of the curriculum.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's formative or summative methods do not comprehensively evaluate the participant's mastery of the curriculum.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program strategically places a variety of assessments that evaluate the participant's initial and advancing levels of knowledge, practice, and application of best practice and competence as characterized by the DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program integrates a variety of assessments that evaluate the participant's initial and advancing levels of knowledge, practice, and application of best practice and competence as characterized by the DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program integrates limited assessments to evaluate the participant's initial and advancing levels of knowledge, practice, and application of best practice and competence as characterized by the DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not integrate assessments that evaluate the participant's initial and advancing levels of knowledge, practice, and application of best practice and competence as characterized by the DRP or DFP.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires more than one written examination and/or two performance-based evaluations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires one written examination and two performance-based evaluations	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires one written examination and two performance-based evaluations upon	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not require one written examination and two performance-based evaluations

			throughout the program.		completion of the program.		throughout the program.
Exhibit Reference:		Exhibit 3 – Assessment Table					
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

2.2 Program Requirements: The program demonstrates compliance with minimum requirements that provides physical therapists with learning experiences resulting in advanced professional competence.

2.2.1 Program Length: The program provides a systematic set of learning experiences that address the knowledge, skills, and affective behaviors the participant needs to achieve the program outcomes within a set period of time. Residency/Fellowship programs are completed in no fewer than ten (10) full-time equivalent months and in no more than sixty (60) months.

Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement*	Inadequate*
	<input type="checkbox"/> Systematic set of learning experiences addresses the knowledge, skills, and affective behaviors the participant needs to achieve the program outcomes within a set period of time.		<input type="checkbox"/> Unclear how the set of systematic learning experiences addresses the knowledge, skills, and affective behaviors the participant needs to achieve the program outcomes within a set period of time.

	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program is completed in ten full-time equivalent months and does not exceed sixty months.		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program is completed in fewer than ten full-time equivalent months or in more than sixty months.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]			
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]			
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]			
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]			
Program Response:					
ABPTRFE Decision:					

2.2.2 Residency Program Hours: The program offers a comprehensive curriculum that meets minimum required hours within the program's defined area of practice. Residency programs require participants to complete a minimum of 1,800 total program hours including 300 educational hours (e.g., didactic, journal club, research, etc.) and 1,500 practice hours within the defined area of practice (e.g., leadership, faculty job activities) inclusive of 150 hours of 1:1 mentoring throughout the program. The participant is the primary individual completing non-clinical practice area tasks for 100 hours of the minimum 150 mentoring hours.

The participant is the primary individual completing non-clinical practice area tasks for 100 hours of the minimum 150 mentoring hours. Of these 100 hours:

- At least 75 hours must occur in-person (1:1)
- At least 25 hours may occur using synchronous video technology (1:1)

The remaining mentoring hours may occur in-person or using synchronous or asynchronous methodologies without the participant being the primary individual completing non-clinical practice area tasks.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires participants to	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires participants to			<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires participants to

	exceed the minimum of 1,800 total program hours.		meet a minimum of 1,800 total program hours.		meet less than the minimum of 1,800 total program hours.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program exceeds the required minimum of 300 educational hours.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires a minimum 300 educational hours.		Program requires less than 300 educational hours.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program exceeds the required minimum of 1,500 practice hours.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires a minimum 1,500 practice hours.		Program requires less than 1,500 practice hours.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program exceeds a required minimum of 150 hours of 1:1 mentoring throughout the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires a minimum 150 hours of 1:1 mentoring throughout the program.		Program requires less than 150 hours of 1:1 mentoring throughout the program.
<input type="checkbox"/>	In-person mentoring is conducted when the participant is the primary individual completing non-clinical practice area techniques for over 75 of the minimum 150 mentoring hours.	<input type="checkbox"/>	In-person mentoring is conducted when the participant is the individual completing non-clinical practice area techniques for 75 of the minimum 150 mentoring hours.		In-person mentoring is conducted when the participant is the individual completing non-clinical practice area techniques for less than 75 of the 150 mentoring hours.
SER Review Findings:			[Insert for Findings from SER review]		
Site Visit Finding:			[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]		
Required Actions*:			[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]		

Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]
Program Response:	
ABPTRFE Decision:	

2.2.3 Fellowship Program Hours: The program offers a comprehensive curriculum that meets minimum required hours within the program's defined area of practice. Fellowship programs require participants to complete a minimum of 1,000 total program hours including 150 educational hours (e.g., didactic, journal club, research, etc.) and 850 practice hours within the defined area of practice (e.g., leadership, faculty job activities) inclusive of 150 hours of 1:1 mentoring throughout the program.

The participant is the primary individual completing non-clinical practice area tasks for 75 hours of the minimum 150 mentoring hours. Of these 75 hours:

- At least 50 hours must occur in-person (1:1)
- At least 25 hours may occur using synchronous video technology (1:1)

The remaining mentoring hours may occur in-person or using synchronous or asynchronous methodologies without the participant being the primary individual completing non-clinical practice area tasks.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*	Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires participants to exceed the required minimum of 1,000 total program hours.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires participants to meet a minimum of 1,000 total program hours.		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires participants to meet less than the minimum of 1,000 total program hours.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program exceeds the required minimum of 150 educational hours.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires a minimum 150 educational hours.		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires less than 150 educational hours.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Program exceeds the required minimum of 850 practice hours.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires a minimum 850 practice hours.		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires less than 850 practice hours.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program exceeds the required minimum of 150 hours of 1:1 mentoring throughout the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires a minimum 150 hours of 1:1 mentoring throughout the program.		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program requires less than 150 hours of 1:1 mentoring throughout the program.
<input type="checkbox"/>	In-person mentoring is conducted when the participant is the individual completing non-clinical practice techniques for over 50 of the minimum 150 mentoring hours.	<input type="checkbox"/>	In-person mentoring is conducted when the individual completing non-clinical practice area techniques for 50 of the minimum 150 mentoring hours.		<input type="checkbox"/>	In-person mentoring is conducted when the participant is the individual completing non-clinical practice area techniques for less than 50 of the 150 mentoring hours.
SER Review Findings:			[Insert for Findings from SER review]			
Site Visit Finding:			[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]			
Required Actions*:			[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]			
Comments:			[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]			
Program Response:						
ABPTRFE Decision:						

2.3 Program Delivery: The program is conducted in settings where management and professional staff are committed to seeking excellence in education while maintaining sufficient resources to achieve the mission, goals, and outcomes.

Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement*	Inadequate*
-----------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

<input type="checkbox"/>	All settings promote a consistent culture where management and professional staff are committed to seeking excellence in education.	<input type="checkbox"/>	All settings engage management and professional staff who are committed to seeking excellence in education.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A majority of settings engage management and professional staff who are committed to seeking excellence in education.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Limited number of settings engage management and professional staff who are committed to seeking excellence in education.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program takes proactive planning steps to assure sufficient resources to achieve the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program takes steps to assure sufficient resources to achieve the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program seeks ongoing resources to achieve the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not maintain sufficient resources to achieve the mission, goals, and outcomes.
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program ensures that the curriculum and learning experiences are delivered consistently across all practice sites and identify who is responsible for this oversight.			<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not have mechanisms in place to ensure that the curriculum and learning experiences are delivered consistently across all practice sites and identify who is responsible for this oversight.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					

Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]
Program Response:	
ABPTRFE Decision:	

2.4 Mentoring Focus: The program emphasizes the professional benefit of advanced education through mentoring. The curriculum offers the participant individualized guidance on emerging and current best practices in a defined area of practice. Mentors provide comprehensive oversight and consistent feedback throughout the length of the program focused on advancing the participant's knowledge and expertise in a defined area of practice.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Collectively, curriculum components integrate to highlight the professional benefit of advanced education through mentoring.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program emphasizes the professional benefit of advanced education through mentoring.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program integrates mentoring as a limited benefit of advanced education.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program integrates a minimal focus on the professional benefit of advanced education through mentoring.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Participants receive individualized guidance on emerging and current best practices in a defined area of practice consistently and seamlessly throughout the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participants receive individualized guidance on emerging and current best practices in a defined area of practice at set intervals throughout the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participants receive limited individual guidance not provided at specific intervals on emerging and current best practices in a defined area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participants receive inconsistent individual guidance on emerging and current best practices in a defined area of practice.

ABPTRFE

<input type="checkbox"/>	Program systematically integrates emerging and best mentoring practices throughout the curriculum.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program integrates emerging and best mentoring practices throughout the curriculum.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program integrates some emerging and best mentoring practices inconsistently throughout the curriculum.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program integrates limited to no emerging and best mentoring practices throughout the curriculum.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

Site Visit Mentoring Session Observation Evaluation ONLY

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
During the mentoring session, the mentor displayed the following personal characteristics .							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recognizes opportunities and engages in ongoing self-reflection and self-development.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Engages in self-reflection and self-development to ensure effectiveness as a teacher.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently engages in self-reflection and self-development.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not engage in self-reflection and self-development.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Seeks opportunities to learn/teach.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Demonstrates willingness to learn/teach.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently demonstrates a willingness to learn/teach.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Did not demonstrate a willingness to learn/teach.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Seeks opportunities and pursues excellence in teaching and mentoring.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Demonstrates eagerness and excitement to pursue excellence in teaching and mentoring.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Somewhat eager and excited to pursue excellence in teaching and mentoring.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not eager or excited to pursue excellence in teaching and mentoring.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Ongoing enhancement of a trusting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consistently develops a trusting environment.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently develops a trusting environment.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not develop a trusting environment.

ABPTRFE

	environment to promote mentoring.						
The mentor displayed the following characteristics related to interactions :							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Seeks opportunities to optimize educational strategies and enhance communication tailored to the learning context and the learner's needs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consistently uses effective communication skills providing clarifications.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently uses effective communication skills.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not use effective communication skills.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Actively encourages learner-centered engagement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Committed to learner engagement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently demonstrates commitment to learner engagement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not demonstrate learner engagement.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Approaches each session with care related to sensitive generational and cultural differences.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Identifies and provides care related to sensitive generational and cultural differences.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently identifies and provides care related to sensitive generational and cultural differences.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not identify or provide care related to sensitive generational and cultural differences.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Seeks feedback for improvement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Open to feedback.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Guarded when receiving feedback.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not accept feedback.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Demonstrates expertise in handling complex situations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Able to handle complex situations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently handles complex situations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Unable to handle complex situations.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Demonstrates expertise in functioning competently in uncertain situations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Able to function competently in uncertain situations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently functions competently in uncertain situations.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not function competently in uncertain situations.
The mentor displayed the following characteristics related to responsibilities .							

ABPTRFE

<input type="checkbox"/>	Seeks to enhance mentoring opportunities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Demonstrates commitment to mentoring.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently demonstrates commitment to mentoring.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not demonstrate commitment to mentoring.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Provides constructive and effective critique of the program participant's work and enhances strategies for change.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Provides constructive and useful critique of the program participant's work and strategies for change.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently provides constructive and useful critique of the program participant's work and strategies for change.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not provide constructive and useful critique of the program participant's work and strategies for change.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Fosters an environment for the program participant to expand his/her abilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Challenges the program participant to expand his/her abilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently challenges the program participant to expand his/her abilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not challenge the program participant to expand his/her abilities.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Provides timely, effective , and comprehensive feedback on the program participant's performance and development.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Provides timely, clear , and comprehensive feedback on the program participant's performance and development.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently provides timely, clear, and comprehensive feedback on the program participant's performance and development.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not provide timely, clear, and comprehensive feedback on the program participant's performance and development.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Engages in conversations to further develop the program participant's independence, creativity, and uniqueness.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Respects and fosters the program participant's independence, creativity, and uniqueness.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently respects and fosters the program participant's independence, creativity, and uniqueness.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not respect or foster the program participant's independence, creativity, and uniqueness.
The mentor displayed the following characteristics related to effective mentoring techniques .							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Participation enhances , but does not interfere with, practice area tasks	<input type="checkbox"/>	Appropriately participates in the session.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently participates in the session.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not participate in the session.

ABPTRFE

	during the mentoring session.						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Enhances mentoring opportunities by providing effective supportive, collegial, and respectful feedback.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Provides supportive, collegial, and respectful feedback.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inconsistently provides supportive, collegial, and respectful feedback.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Does not provide supportive, collegial, and respectful feedback.
	The mentor effectively displays the following six mentor competencies (refer to the APTA Guide to Successful Mentoring for definitions and behaviors that incorporate these competencies).		The mentor displays the following six mentor competencies (refer to the APTA Guide to Successful Mentoring for definitions and behaviors that incorporate these competencies).		The mentor inconsistently displays the following six mentor competencies (refer to the APTA Guide to Successful Mentoring for definitions and behaviors that incorporate these competencies).		The mentor does not display the following six mentor competencies (refer to the APTA Guide to Successful Mentoring for definitions and behaviors that incorporate these competencies).
<input type="checkbox"/>	• Content Knowledge	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Content Knowledge	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Content Knowledge	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Content Knowledge
<input type="checkbox"/>	• Learner-Directed	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Learner-Directed	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Learner-Directed	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Learner-Directed
<input type="checkbox"/>	• Communication Expertise	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Communication Expertise	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Communication Expertise	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Communication Expertise
<input type="checkbox"/>	• Professional Integrity	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Professional Integrity	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Professional Integrity	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Professional Integrity
<input type="checkbox"/>	• Self-Reflection and Lifelong Learning	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Self-Reflection and Lifelong Learning	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Self-Reflection and Lifelong Learning	<input type="checkbox"/>	• Self-Reflection and Lifelong Learning
SER Review Findings:			[Insert for Findings from SER review]				
Site Visit Finding:			[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]				
Required Actions*:			[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]				
Comments:			[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]				
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

2.5 Completion: The program verifies that the participant meets completion requirements. The program director awards a certificate of graduation to the participant who completes the program. The certificate is issued in accordance with the ABPTRFE Policies and Procedures Authorized Statement and signed by the program director and administrators of the sponsoring organization. A certificate is only issued once the participant completes all program requirements.

Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*	Inadequate*	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program follows a process for verifying that participants meet completion requirements.		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not have a process for verifying that participants meet completion requirements.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program director awards a certificate of graduation to participants who complete the program.		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program director does not award a certificate of graduation to participants who complete the program.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate reflects the ABPTRFE Processes and Procedures Authorized Statement.		<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate does not reflect the ABPTRFE Processes and Procedures Authorized Statement.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate is signed by the program director and appropriate administrators of the		<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificate is not signed by either a program director or appropriate administrators of the

		sponsoring organization.			sponsoring organization.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program issues certificates once participants complete all program requirements.		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not follow processes to issue certificates before participants complete all program requirements.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]			
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]			
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]			
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]			
Program Response:					
ABPTRFE Decision:					

Quality Standard 3: Program Delivery, Director, and Faculty

Residency/Fellowship programs implement consistent procedures for adequately responding to the participant's needs. Admissions criteria allows for equitable evaluation of the participant's ability to be successful in the program and supports the program's mission, goals, and outcomes. A qualified and experienced program director provides effective administrative leadership of faculty and oversees the delivery of a quality curriculum. Adequate support services facilitate the participant's successful completion and achievement of program outcomes.

QUALITY STANDARD 3 KEY ELEMENTS: A residency/fellowship program meets this quality standard through the effective implementation and consistent documentation of the following key elements:

- 3.1 **Admissions Criteria:** The program publishes equitable and inclusive admissions policies and verifies the participant is eligible to practice based on state requirements. The program implements consistent procedures for evaluating each prospective participant's ability to be successful in the program and achieve their educational goals. Programs advance diversity and promote a culture of inclusion and equity, particularly with groups historically underrepresented in the profession.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program publishes admissions policies that are clear and concise .	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program publishes admissions policies.			<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not publish admissions policies.
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program identifies who is responsible for evaluating prospective participants.			<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not identify who is responsible for evaluating prospective participants.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements processes designed to consistently verify participants are eligible to practice based on state requirements.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program verifies participants are eligible to practice based on state requirements.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently verifies participants are eligible to practice based on state requirements.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not verify participants are eligible to practice based on state requirements.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements consistent procedures designed to effectively evaluate prospective participants' ability to be successful and achieve their	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements consistent procedures to evaluate prospective participants' ability to be successful and achieve their educational goals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently evaluates prospective participants' ability to be successful and achieve their educational goals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not evaluate prospective participants' ability to be successful and achieve their educational goals.

educational goals.						
SER Review Findings:	[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:						
ABPTRFE Decision:						

3.1.1 **Program Contract/Agreement/Letter of Appointment:** The program ensures each participant signs a contract/agreement/letter of appointment prior to commencing the residency/fellowship program. The contract/agreement/letter of appointment is in compliance with the ABPTRFE's [Admissions Offer Disclosures Check List](#).

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements procedures to ensure participants sign a contract/agreement/letter of appointment prior to commencing the residency/fellowship program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently implements procedures to ensure participants sign a contract/agreement/letter of appointment prior to commencing the residency/fellowship program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program lacks procedures to ensure participants sign a contract/agreement/letter of appointment prior to commencing the residency/fellowship programs.
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Contract/agreement/letter of appointment complies with the ABPTRFE Admissions Offer Disclosures Check List.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Contract/agreement/letter of appointment does not comply with the ABPTRFE Admissions Offer Disclosures Check List.		

		<input type="checkbox"/>	Participant Handbook complies with the ABPTRFE Admissions Offer Disclosures Check List.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Participant Handbook does not comply with the ABPTRFE Admissions Offer Disclosures Check List.	
Exhibit Reference			Exhibit 4 – Admissions Offer Disclosures List Exhibit 5 – Blank Contract/Agreement/Letter of Appointment			
SER Review Findings:			[Insert for Findings from SER review]			
Site Visit Finding:			[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]			
Required Actions*:			[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]			
Comments:			[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]			
Program Response:						
ABPTRFE Decision:						

3.2 Participant Orientation: The program conducts orientation activities to familiarize the participant with the program requirements including the mission, goals, outcomes, administrative policies, ABPTRFE quality standards, designated learning experiences, and evaluation processes.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program designs and conducts effective orientation activities to readily familiarize participants with program requirements including the mission, goals, outcomes, administrative	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program conducts orientation activities to familiarize participants with program requirements including the mission, goals, outcomes, administrative policies, ABPTRFE quality standards,	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program conducts limited orientation activities that makes it challenging for participants to familiarize themselves with the program requirements including the mission, goals, outcomes,	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not conduct orientation activities that familiarize participants with the program requirements including the mission, goals, outcomes, administrative policies, ABPTRFE quality standards,

	policies, ABPTRFE quality standards, designated learning experiences, and evaluation processes.		designated learning experiences, and evaluation processes.		administrative policies, ABPTRFE quality standards, designated learning experiences, and evaluation processes.		designated learning experiences, and evaluation processes.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

3.3 **Administrative Policies:** The program publishes equitable administrative policies and implements consistent procedures designed to protect the participant and the program.

3.3.1 **Retention Policy:** The program implements appropriate retention policies and procedures including academic and any additional requirements the participant must fulfill to maintain active status through graduation.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements appropriate retention policies and procedures.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently implements appropriate retention policies and procedures.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program lacks appropriate retention policies and procedures.
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's retention policies and procedures include academic and	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's retention policies and procedures include only academic or	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's retention policies and procedures do not include academic or

		practice requirements participants fulfill to maintain active status through graduation.		practice requirements participants fulfill to maintain active status through graduation.		practice requirements participants fulfill to maintain active status through graduation.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]				
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]				
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]				
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]				
Program Response:						
ABPTRFE Decision:						

3.3.2 **Remediation Policy:** The program implements appropriate remediation policies and procedures including criteria for program dismissal if remediation efforts are unsuccessful. The program establishes methods and timelines to identify and remedy unsatisfactory performance. The remediation policies are distributed to and acknowledged in writing by the participant. The program documents and implements any necessary adjustments to the participant's customized learning plans, including remedial action(s).

Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program applies appropriate remediation policies and procedures including criteria for program dismissal if remediation efforts are unsuccessful.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently applies appropriate remediation policies and procedures including criteria for program dismissal if remediation efforts are unsuccessful.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program lacks appropriate remediation policies and procedures including criteria for program dismissal if remediation efforts are unsuccessful.

	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program establishes methods and timelines to identify and remedy unsatisfactory performance.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not establish methods or timelines to identify and remedy unsatisfactory performance.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program lacks methods and timelines to identify and remedy unsatisfactory performance.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program documents participants received the remediation policies.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently documents participants received the remediation policies.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not document participants received the remediation policies.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provides a specific remediation plan to participants that outlines the reason for remediation, the length of remediation, the requirements of meeting remediation, and documents by signature participants' acknowledgement of the remediation plan.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently provides a specific remediation plan to participants that outlines the reason for remediation, the length of remediation, the requirements of meeting remediation, and documents by signature participants' acknowledgement of the remediation plan.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not provide a specific remediation plan to participants that outlines the reason for remediation, the length of remediation, the requirements of meeting remediation, and documents by signature participants' acknowledgement of the remediation plan.
SER Review Findings:	[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					

Program Response:	
ABPTRFE Decision:	

3.3.3 **Termination Policy:** The program implements an appropriate termination policy and procedures including termination of the participant who becomes ineligible to continue in the program (e.g., consistent underperformance or inability to successfully remediate participant). The program establishes procedures and timelines followed for termination. The program identifies the employment status of the participant should program termination occur.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program applies and consistently follows appropriate termination policy and procedures including termination of participants who become ineligible to practice due to loss of license or for identified academic reasons.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program applies appropriate termination policy and procedures including termination of participants who become ineligible to practice due to loss of license or for identified academic reasons.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently applies appropriate termination policy and procedures including termination of participants who become ineligible to practice due to loss of license or for identified academic reasons.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program lacks appropriate termination policy and procedures including termination of participants who become ineligible to practice due to loss of license or for identified academic reasons.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements consistent procedures and timelines followed for termination.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program establishes procedures and timelines followed for termination.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently follows procedures and timelines followed for termination.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program lacks established procedures and timelines followed for termination.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements procedures for	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program identifies the employment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not identify employment	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program lacks procedures for identifying

verifying the employment status of participants should program termination occur.		status of participants should program termination occur.		status of participants should program termination occur.		employment status of participants should program termination occur.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]				
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]				
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]				
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]				
Program Response:						
ABPTRFE Decision:						

- 3.3.4 **Grievance Policy:** The program implements an equitable grievance policy including procedures for appeal that ensures due process for the participant, faculty, and staff. Additionally, the program publishes ABPTRFE's grievance policy that a participant can follow if issues are not resolved at the program level.

Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements an equitable grievance policy including procedures for appeal that ensures due process for participants, faculty, and staff.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently implements an equitable grievance policy including procedures for appeal that ensure due process for participants, faculty, and staff.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program lacks an equitable grievance policy including procedures for appeal that ensure due process for participants, faculty, and staff.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program publishes ABPTRFE's grievance policy	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not publish ABPTRFE's grievance policy		

	for participants to follow if issues are not resolved at the program level.	for participants to follow if issues are not resolved at the program level.	
SER Review Findings:	[Insert for Findings from SER review]		
Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]		
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]		
Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]		
Program Response:			
ABPTRFE Decision:			

3.3.5 **Leave Policy:** The program establishes appropriate professional, family, and sick leave policies including how these leaves could impact the participant's ability to complete the program.

Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement*	Inadequate*
	<input type="checkbox"/> Program establishes appropriate professional, family, and sick leave policies.	<input type="checkbox"/> Program does not establish appropriate professional, family, and sick leave policies.	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Program's professional, family, and sick leave policies include descriptions on how these leaves could impact participants' ability to complete the program.	<input type="checkbox"/> Program's professional, family, and sick leave policies do not include descriptions on how these leaves could impact participants' ability to complete the program.	
SER Review Findings:	[Insert for Findings from SER review]		

Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]
Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]
Program Response:	
ABPTRFE Decision:	

- 3.3.6 **Non-Discrimination/Privacy/Confidentiality Policies:** The program documents compliance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations including non-discrimination, privacy, and confidentiality policies. Programs do not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender, sexual orientation, disability, age, and other identities and/or statuses.

Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement*	Inadequate*
	<input type="checkbox"/> Program documents compliance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations including non-discrimination, privacy, and confidentiality policies.		<input type="checkbox"/> Program does not document compliance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations including non-discrimination, privacy, and confidentiality policies.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Program creates and follows a process when implementing their non-discrimination policy.		<input type="checkbox"/> Program does not have or follow a process when implementing their non-discrimination policy.
SER Review Findings:	[Insert for Findings from SER review]		
Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]		
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]		

Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]
Program Response:	
ABPTRFE Decision:	

3.3.7 **Participant Tracking:** The program maintains a record of current and past program participants.

Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement*	Inadequate*
	<input type="checkbox"/> Program maintains a record of current and past program participants.	<input type="checkbox"/> Program inconsistently maintains a record of current and past program participants.	<input type="checkbox"/> Program does not maintain a record of current and past program participants.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Program identifies who is responsible for tracking current and past program participants.		<input type="checkbox"/> Program does not identify who is responsible for tracking current and past program participants.
SER Review Findings:	[Insert for Findings from SER review]		
Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]		
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]		
Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]		
Program Response:			
ABPTRFE Decision:			

3.4 **Program Director:** The program director possesses the qualifications and experience in operations, financial management, and leadership to administratively oversee all aspects of the program in support of the mission, goals, and outcomes. The program determines the role and responsibilities of the program director.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program director possesses qualifications and extensive prior experience in one or all areas including operations, financial management, and leadership to administratively oversee all aspects of the program in support of the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program director possesses the qualifications and experience across all areas including operations, financial management, and leadership to administratively oversee all aspects of the program in support of the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program director possesses the qualifications and experience in some , but not all of the areas including operations, financial management, and leadership to administratively oversee all aspects of the program in support of the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program director minimally possesses the qualifications and does not possess experience in all areas including operations, financial management, and leadership to administratively oversee all aspects of the program in support of the mission, goals, and outcomes.
		<input type="checkbox"/>	The program identifies and documents the role and responsibilities of the program director.	<input type="checkbox"/>	The program does not fully identify or document the role and responsibilities of the program director.		
Exhibit Reference:		Exhibit 6 – Program Director Curriculum Vitae or Resume					
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program’s Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

3.5 Program Coordinator: A program coordinator is appointed if a program director is not a licensed physical therapist who obtained a minimum of five years of experience in the program's defined area of practice. The program coordinator is responsible for overseeing the curriculum and ensuring it comprehensively incorporates the requirements in the Description of Residency Practice (DRP) or the Description of Fellowship Practice (DFP). The program determines the roles and responsibilities of the program coordinator.

Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program coordinator (if applicable) oversees the curriculum and ensures it comprehensively incorporates the requirements published in the DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program coordinator (if applicable) inconsistently oversees the curriculum and ensures it comprehensively incorporates the requirements published in the DRP or DFP.	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program coordinator is a licensed physical therapist who obtained a minimum of five years of experience in the defined area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program coordinator is a licensed physical therapist who did not obtain a minimum of five years of experience in the defined area of practice.	
Exhibit Reference:	Exhibit 7 – Program Coordinator Curriculum Vitae or Resume				
SER Review Findings:	[Insert for Findings from SER review]				
Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]				
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]				
Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]				

Program Response:	
ABPTRFE Decision:	

3.6 **Faculty:** Individuals qualified by education and experience comprise the program's faculty based on their roles and responsibilities. The program's faculty possess the academic background, professional experience, and ongoing professional development to ensure the delivery of quality residency/fellowship education. Programs do not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender, sexual orientation, disability, age, and other identities and/or statuses. Programs strive for diversity and a culture of inclusion among faculty, particularly with regard to historically underrepresented groups.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program engages individuals highly qualified by education and experience based on their roles and responsibilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program engages individuals qualified by education and experience based on their roles and responsibilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program engages individuals qualified by either education or experience based on their roles and responsibilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program engages individuals who are not qualified by education or experience based on their roles and responsibilities.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess extensive academic background to ensure the delivery of quality residency/fellowship education.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess academic background to ensure the delivery of quality residency/fellowship education.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess limited academic background to ensure the delivery of quality residency/fellowship education.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty do not possess academic background to ensure the delivery of quality residency/fellowship education.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess extensive professional	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess professional experience to ensure the delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess limited professional experience to	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty do not possess professional experience to

	experience to ensure the delivery of quality residency/ fellowship education.		of quality residency/ fellowship education.		ensure the delivery of quality residency/ fellowship education.		ensure the delivery of quality residency/ fellowship education.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty consistently participates in ongoing professional development directly related to program roles and responsibilities to ensure the delivery of quality residency/ fellowship education.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty participates in ongoing professional development to ensure the delivery of quality residency/ fellowship education.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty participate in limited professional development that does not adequately support the delivery of quality residency/ fellowship education.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty do not participate in professional development that supports the delivery of quality residency/ fellowship education.
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program creates and follows a process for preventing discrimination among faculty.			<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not have or follow a process for preventing discrimination among faculty.
Exhibit Reference:		Exhibit 8 – Faculty Qualifications Chart					
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program’s Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

3.6.1 **Quantity:** The program employs a sufficient number of faculty who possess demonstrated expertise in the defined area of practice including the appropriate credentials to support the program’s mission, goals, and outcomes. The program’s adequate number of faculty allow for:

- Teaching, mentoring, administration, individual counseling, supervision, research throughout the program, and
- Faculty activities that contribute to individual professional growth and development.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program engages a sufficient number of faculty and plans for future faculty needs.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program engages a sufficient number of faculty.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program engages a limited number of faculty.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program engages an insufficient number of faculty.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty are sufficient in number to allow for teaching, mentoring, administration, individual counseling, supervision, and research throughout the program to enhance participants learning.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty are sufficient in number to allow for teaching, mentoring, administration, individual counseling, supervision, and research throughout the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty are limited in number to sufficiently allow for teaching, mentoring, administration, individual counseling, supervision, and research throughout the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty are inadequate in number to sufficiently allow for teaching, mentoring, administration, individual counseling, supervision, and research throughout the program.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty are sufficient in number to participate in activities that enhance	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty are sufficient in number to participate in activities that contribute to	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty are limited in number to participate in activities that contribute to	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty are inadequate in number to participate in activities that contribute to

	individual professional growth and development.		individual professional growth and development.		individual professional growth and development.		individual professional growth and development.
Exhibit Reference:		Exhibit 8 – Faculty Qualifications Chart					
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

3.6.2 Qualifications: Collectively, program faculty have the qualifications necessary to oversee and initiate the learning experiences of the residency/fellowship program to achieve the program goals through effective program development, design, and evaluation of outcomes. Faculty qualifications include the following:

- Instructional experience,
- Effective teaching and evaluative skills,
- Record of involvement in scholarly and professional activities,
- Academic and experiential qualifications,
- Diversity of backgrounds appropriate to meet program goals,
- Expertise in residency/fellowship curriculum development and design, and
- Expertise in program and participant evaluation.

Judgment about faculty competence in a curricular area for which a faculty is responsible is based on:

- Appropriate past and current involvement in specialist certification and/or advanced degree courses,
- Experience as an academician,
- Research experience, and
- Teaching experience

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess extensive	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess qualifications	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess limited	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty do not possess the

	qualifications necessary to oversee and initiate the learning experiences of the residency/ fellowship program to support the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.		necessary to oversee and initiate the learning experiences of the residency/ fellowship program to support the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.		qualifications necessary to oversee and initiate the learning experiences of the residency/ fellowship program to support the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.		qualifications necessary to oversee and initiate the learning experiences of the residency/ fellowship program to support the program's mission, goals, and outcomes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess extensive instructional experience, effective teaching and evaluative skills, record of involvement in scholarly and professional activities, academic and experiential qualifications, diversity of backgrounds appropriate to meet program goals, expertise in residency/ fellowship curriculum development and design, and expertise in program and	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess advanced instructional experience, effective teaching and evaluative skills, record of involvement in scholarly and professional activities, academic and experiential qualifications, diversity of backgrounds appropriate to meet program goals, expertise in residency/ fellowship curriculum development and design, and expertise in program and	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty possess limited advanced instructional experience, effective teaching and evaluative skills, record of involvement in scholarly and professional activities, academic and experiential qualifications, diversity of backgrounds appropriate to meet program goals, expertise in residency/ fellowship curriculum development and design, and expertise in	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty do not possess advanced instructional experience, effective teaching and evaluative skills, record of involvement in scholarly and professional activities, academic and experiential qualifications, diversity of backgrounds appropriate to meet program goals, expertise in residency/ fellowship curriculum development and design, and expertise in

	participant evaluation.		participant evaluation.		program and participant evaluation.		program and participant evaluation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements systematic procedures for judging faculty curricular area competence based on appropriate past and current involvement in specialist certification/ advanced degree courses, experience as an academician, research experience, and teaching experience.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements procedures for judging faculty curricular area competence based on appropriate past and current involvement in specialist certification/ advanced degree courses, experience as an academician, research experience, and teaching experience.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements inconsistent procedures for judging faculty curricular area competence based on appropriate past and current involvement in specialist certification/ advanced degree courses, experience as an academician, research experience, and teaching experience.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not implement procedures for judging faculty curricular area competence based on appropriate past and current involvement in specialist certification/ advanced degree courses, experience as an academician, research experience, and teaching experience.
Exhibit Reference:		Exhibit 8 – Faculty Qualifications Chart					
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

3.6.3 **Mentor Qualifications:** Mentors for residency/fellowship programs possess significant and current experience (minimum of 3 years) in the program's area of practice.

Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement*	Inadequate*
-----------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mentors possess significant and current experience (minimum 3 years) in the program's area of practice.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not all mentors possess significant and current experience (minimum 3 years) in the program's area of practice.	
Exhibit Reference:	Exhibit 8 – Faculty Qualifications Chart				
SER Review Findings:	[Insert for Findings from SER review]				
Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]				
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]				
Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]				
Program Response:					
ABPTRFE Decision:					

3.6.4 **Professional Development:** The program provides ongoing professional development experiences for faculty to support their role(s) within the program. Faculty professional development experiences are designed to maintain and improve the effectiveness of the leadership and mentorship that results in program improvement. The program fosters growth in faculty through mentoring for career advancement.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty are provided ongoing professional development experiences that directly support their role within the program and enhance identified professional development weaknesses.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provides ongoing professional development experiences for faculty to support their role within the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provides limited professional development experiences for faculty that inconsistently support their role within the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not provide professional development experiences for faculty to support their role within the program.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements a mentoring program or similar efforts for fostering growth of faculty for career advancement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program proactively and consistently encourages mentoring for faculty to further career advancement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently encourages mentoring for faculty to further career advancement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not encourage mentoring for faculty to further career advancement.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

Quality Standard 4: Program Commitment and Resources

Residency/Fellowship programs' facilities support excellence in practice and dedication to physical therapy services. The program and sponsoring organization comply with all accreditation and regulatory requirements including other national applicable laws and industry standards. The program maintains sufficient resources to achieve the mission, goals, and outcomes. The program retains sufficient quantity and quality of resources to enable the residency/fellowship program to accomplish its goals. Sufficient resources include adequate learning experiences, faculty, participant support services, staff, finances, access to relevant publications, equipment, materials, and facilities.

QUALITY STANDARD 4 KEY ELEMENTS: A residency/fellowship program meets this quality standard through the effective implementation and consistent documentation of the following key elements:

4.1 Experiential Learning: The program's experiential learning activities are varied and sufficient to meet the mission, goals, and outcomes. The program provides sufficient mentored experiences for the defined area of practice as identified in the Description of Residency Practice (DRP) or the Description of Fellowship Practice (DFP).

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Experiential learning activities are abundant in number and variety to readily achieve the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Experiential learning activities are sufficient in number and variety to meet the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Experiential learning activities are limited in number and variety to meet the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Experiential learning activities are insufficient in number and variety to meet the mission, goals, and outcomes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provides an excess of mentored experiences for the defined area of practice as identified in the DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provides sufficient mentored experiences for the defined area of practice as identified in the DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provided limited mentored experiences for the defined area of practice as identified in the DRP or DFP.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provides insufficient mentored experiences for the defined area of practice as identified in the DRP or DFP.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

4.2 Educational Resources: The program provides the participant and faculty access to current publications and other relevant materials in appropriate media to support the curriculum.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provides participants and faculty access to an excess of current	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provides participants and faculty access to current publications and	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provides participants and faculty access to limited current publications and	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not provide participants and faculty access to current

	publications and other relevant materials in appropriate media to support the curriculum.		other relevant materials in appropriate media to support the curriculum.		other relevant materials in appropriate media to support the curriculum.		publications and other relevant materials in appropriate media to support the curriculum.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements procedures for regularly reviewing and updating publications and relevant materials.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program establishes procedures for regularly reviewing and updating publications and relevant materials.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently follows procedures for reviewing and updating publications and relevant materials.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not follow procedures for reviewing and updating publications and relevant materials.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

4.3 Support Services: The program and sponsoring organization provides adequate support services that encourage and promote the participant's successful completion.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program and sponsoring organization design meaningful support services that proactively encourage and promote participants' successful completion.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program and sponsoring organization provide adequate support services that encourage and promote participants' successful completion.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program and sponsoring organization provide limited support services that somewhat encourage and promote participants' successful completion.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program and sponsoring organization do not provide support services that encourage and promote participants' successful completion.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					

Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]
Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]
Program Response:	
ABPTRFE Decision:	

4.4 Financial Resources: The program maintains financial resources that are adequate to achieve the mission, goals, and outcomes and supports the academic integrity resulting in continued program sustainability.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program plans for and manages financial resources that support the achievement of the mission, goals, and outcomes including academic integrity that results in continued program sustainability.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program manages financial resources that are adequate to achieve the mission, goals, and outcomes while supporting academic integrity that results in continued program sustainability.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program manages financial resources that are somewhat adequate to achieve the mission, goals, and outcomes while supporting academic integrity resulting in limited program sustainability.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program manages financial resources that are insufficient to achieve the mission, goals, and outcomes while supporting academic integrity resulting in limited program sustainability.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

4.4.1 Sponsoring Organization: For the protection of the program participant, the sponsoring organization demonstrates its support of the program, in part, by providing sufficient funding resources to sustain the program.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sponsoring organization demonstrates continued support of the program through multiple means, but in part, by providing sufficient funding resources to sustain the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sponsoring organization demonstrates support of the program by providing sufficient funding resources to sustain the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sponsoring organization demonstrates limited support of the program by providing some funding resources to sustain the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Sponsoring organization does not demonstrate adequate support of the program and provides limited funding resources to sustain the program.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

4.5 **Teach-Out Commitment:** The program and sponsoring organization commits to teaching out participants who are currently enrolled if it is deemed necessary to discontinue offering the program.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program and sponsoring organization demonstrates commitment to current participants through a teach-out commitment.			<input type="checkbox"/>	Program and sponsoring organizations does not demonstrate commitment to current participants and has not implemented a

					teach-out commitment.
Exhibit Reference:	Exhibit 9 – Teach-Out Commitment				
SER Review Findings:	[Insert for Findings from SER review]				
Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]				
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]				
Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program’s Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]				
Program Response:					
ABPTRFE Decision:					

Quality Standards 5: Assessment, Achievement, Satisfaction, and Effectiveness

Residency/Fellowship programs conduct ongoing evaluation of the mission, goals, outcomes, faculty, curriculum, and participants in a commitment to continuous improvement. The program annually gathers data, monitors results, and analyzes information to determine the extent to which the mission, goals, and outcomes are achieved. The evaluation process is planned, organized, scheduled, and documented to ensure ongoing quality education in a defined area of practice. Participant performance is evaluated initially, on an ongoing basis, and at the conclusion of the program. Participant evaluation data are used to further focus learning and instruction and confirm achievement of the program outcomes. Data collected on the post-graduate performance of the participant is used to evaluate the program’s effectiveness and inform curriculum revisions.

QUALITY STANDARD 5 KEY ELEMENTS: A residency/fellowship program meets this quality standard through the effective implementation and consistent documentation of the following key elements:

5.1 Program Assessment: The program implements a plan and collects data from key indicators used to annually evaluate the achievement of its mission, goals, and outcomes.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements a systematic plan that is understood by program	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements a plan.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program partially implements a plan.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not have a plan.

	administration and faculty.						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program collects data on an ongoing basis throughout the year.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program collects data annually .	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program randomly collects data.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not collect data.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements a process for evaluating data throughout the year.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program evaluates data annually.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently evaluates data annually.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not evaluate data.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation of data is used to measure achievement of the mission, goals, and outcomes throughout the year.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation of data is used to measure achievement of the mission, goals, and outcomes annually .	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently evaluates data used to measure achievement of the mission, goals, and outcomes annually.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not evaluate data used to measure achievement of the mission, goals, and outcomes annually.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Data drives continuous improvements efforts.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data informs continuous improvement efforts.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data minimally used to inform continuous improvement efforts.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data is not used to inform continuous improvement efforts.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's plan results in comprehensive annual data that clearly demonstrate achievement of the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's plan results in annual data that demonstrate achievement of the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's plan results in annual data that partially demonstrate achievement of the mission, goals, and outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's plan does not result in annual data that demonstrate achievement of the mission, goals, and outcomes.
Exhibit Reference:			Exhibit 2 – Mission and Goals Chart Exhibit 3 – Assessment Table				

SER Review Findings:	[Insert for Findings from SER review]
Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]
Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]
Program Response:	
ABPTRFE Decision:	

5.2 Participant Progress: The program establishes a consistent process for tracking the participant's level of achievement of the program outcomes against identified benchmarks. Overall participant progress is assessed at regular intervals to ensure timely completion and appropriate progression of participant advancement.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program establishes a systematic and consistent process for tracking participants level of achievement of program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program establishes a consistent process for tracking participants level of achievement of program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's process inconsistently tracks participants level of achievement of program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's process does not track participants level of achievement of program outcomes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program identifies benchmarks it uses to readily determine the level to which participants achieve the program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program identifies benchmarks it uses to determine the level to which participants achieve the program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program partially identifies benchmarks it uses to determine the level to which participants achieve the program outcomes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not identify benchmarks it uses to determine the level to which participants achieve the program outcomes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Data collected on participant	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data collected on participant	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data collected on participant	<input type="checkbox"/>	Data collected on participant

	progress is used to drive continuous curriculum improvement efforts.		progress is used to inform annual curriculum improvement efforts.		progress is inconsistently used to inform curriculum improvement efforts.		progress is not used to inform curriculum improvement efforts.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program assesses participant progress at specific predetermined intervals designated throughout the curriculum.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program assesses participant progress at regular intervals throughout the curriculum.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently assesses participant progress that prevents the ability to ensure timely completion and appropriate progression of participant advancement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not assess participant progress and does not ensure timely completion and appropriate progression of participant advancement.
Exhibit Reference:		Exhibit 2 – Mission and Goals Chart Exhibit 3 – Assessment Table					
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

5.3 Program Director and Coordinator Evaluation: The program establishes an annual process for evaluating the program director and coordinator (as applicable) including adequate administrative program oversight, evaluation of program participants, and appropriate allocation of resources against identified benchmarks based on responsibilities.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements a	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program establishes an	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program partially	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not establish an

	systematic and consistent annual process for evaluating the program director and coordinator (if applicable).		annual process for evaluating the program director and coordinator (if applicable).		establishes an annual process for evaluating the program director and coordinator (if applicable).		annual process for evaluating the program director and coordinator (if applicable).
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation process identifies benchmarks used for demonstrating on a graduated scale administrative program oversight, evaluation of program participants, and appropriate allocation of resources based on responsibilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation process identifies benchmarks for demonstrating administrative program oversight, evaluation of program participants, and appropriate allocation of resources based on responsibilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation partially identifies benchmarks for demonstrating administrative program oversight, evaluation of program participants, and appropriate allocation of resources based on responsibilities.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation does not identify benchmarks for demonstrating administrative program oversight, evaluation of program participants, and appropriate allocation of resources based on responsibilities.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>For programs seeking renewal of accreditation (only if exceeding expectations):</i> Program's evaluation process allows for the identification and adjustment						

of established benchmarks based on its past and expected performance to meet the mission, goals, and outcomes.	
SER Review Findings:	[Insert for Findings from SER review]
Site Visit Finding:	[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]
Required Actions*:	[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]
Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]
Program Response:	
ABPTRFE Decision:	

5.4 Faculty Evaluation: The program establishes an annual process for evaluating faculty which may include an assessment of teaching ability, professional activities, expertise within the defined area of practice, mentoring, and adequate participant support. When determining faculty effectiveness, the program identifies benchmarks and gathers data from multiple sources. Mentor performance is evaluated through direct observations by the program director/coordinator. Annually, faculty receive feedback results for continuous improvement purposes.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements a systematic and consistent annual process for evaluating faculty.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program establishes an annual process for evaluating faculty.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program partially establishes an annual process for evaluating faculty.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not establish an annual process for evaluating faculty.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program director/coordinator evaluates mentor performance through direct observations at	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program director/coordinator evaluates mentor performance	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program director/coordinator inconsistently evaluates mentor performance	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program director/coordinator does not evaluate mentor

	predetermined intervals throughout the curriculum.		through direct observations.		through direct observation.		performance through direct observation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation process assesses teaching ability, professional activities, expertise within the defined area of practice, mentoring, and adequate participant support as applicable to the program .	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation process assesses teaching ability, professional activities, expertise within the defined area of practice, mentoring, and adequate participant support.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation process inconsistently assesses teaching ability, professional activities, clinical expertise, mentoring, and/or adequate participant support as applicable to the program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not evaluate teaching ability, professional activities, clinical expertise, mentoring, and/or adequate participant support.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation process identifies clear and specific benchmarks to readily measure faculty effectiveness.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation process identifies benchmarks to measure faculty effectiveness.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation process partially identifies benchmarks to measure faculty effectiveness.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program's evaluation process does not identify benchmarks to measure faculty effectiveness.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty receive ongoing feedback throughout the year for continuous improvement purposes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty receive annual feedback for continuous improvement purposes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty receive feedback in inconsistent intervals making it challenging for results to be used for continuous improvement purposes.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Faculty do not receive feedback preventing continuous improvement.
SER Review Findings:			[Insert for Findings from SER review]				
Site Visit Finding:			[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]				
Required Actions*:			[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]				

Comments:	[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]
Program Response:	
ABPTRFE Decision:	

5.5 Participant Post-Completion Performance: The program regularly collects information about the post-completion performance of the residency/fellowship graduate which is used for program evaluation and continuous improvement.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program collects data at predetermined intervals about the post-completion performance of residency/fellowship graduates.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program collects data regularly about the post-completion performance of residency/fellowship graduates.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently collects data about the post-completion performance of residency/fellowship graduates.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not collect data about the post-completion performance of residency/fellowship graduates.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program uses data to evaluate the program effectiveness and implements continuous improvement efforts as a direct result.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program uses data to evaluate the program and inform continuous improvement efforts.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently uses data to evaluate the program and inform continuous improvement efforts.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not use data to evaluate the program or inform continuous improvement efforts.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

5.6 Program Effectiveness: The program annually uses comprehensive outcomes data to inform curriculum revisions. The data guides the program's continuous improvement efforts indicative of an ABPTRFE-accredited residency/fellowship program and provides evidence supporting the continued achievement of the mission, goals, and outcomes.

Exceeds Expectations		Meets Expectations		Needs Improvement*		Inadequate*	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements a plan that uses comprehensive outcomes data to continuously inform curriculum revisions throughout the year.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program uses comprehensive outcomes data to annually inform curriculum revisions.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently uses comprehensive outcomes data to annually inform curriculum revisions.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not use comprehensive outcomes data to inform curriculum revisions.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program implements a systematic process for using the data collected to guide continuous improvement efforts that exemplifies an ABPTRFE-accredited residency/fellowship program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program uses the data collected to guide continuous improvement efforts indicative of an ABPTRFE-accredited residency/fellowship program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program inconsistently uses data collected to guide continuous improvement efforts indicative of an ABPTRFE-accredited residency/fellowship program.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not use data collected to guide continuous improvement efforts indicative of an ABPTRFE-accredited residency/fellowship program.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Program regularly maintains evidence supporting the continued achievement of	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provides evidence supporting the continued achievement of the mission,	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program provided inconsistent evidence supporting the continued achievement of	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not provide evidence supporting the continued achievement of the mission,

	the mission, goals, and outcomes.		goals, and outcomes.		the mission, goals, and outcomes.		goals, and outcomes.
<input type="checkbox"/>	For programs seeking renewal of accreditation only if exceeding expectations: Evidence maintained is used annually by the program to compare past achievements against current performance data.						
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

5.7 Outcomes Publication: The program annually publishes outcomes data that communicates program performance indicative of participant achievement. Outcomes data must be published on the program's website. Information shall be no more than one "click" away from the program's home webpage. At a minimum, programs publish their program completion rate.

Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Needs Improvement*	Inadequate*
	<input type="checkbox"/> Program has a webpage separate from the sponsoring organization.		<input type="checkbox"/> Program does not have a webpage separate from the sponsoring organization.

<input type="checkbox"/>	Program annually publishes on its website outcomes data that communicate comprehensive and specific program performance indicative of participant achievement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program annually publishes on its website outcomes data that communicate program performance indicative of participant achievement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program annually partially publishes on its website outcomes data that communicate program performance indicative of participant achievement.	<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not annually publish on its website outcomes data that communicate program performance indicative of participant achievement.
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program publishes the current ABPTRFE-accredited program logo.			<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not publish the current ABPTRFE-accredited program logo.
		<input type="checkbox"/>	Program publishes its completion rate.			<input type="checkbox"/>	Program does not publish its completion rate.
		<input type="checkbox"/>	The program's completion rate and outcomes data are no more than one "click" away from the program's home webpage.			<input type="checkbox"/>	The program's completion rate and outcomes data are more than one "click" away from the program's home webpage.
SER Review Findings:		[Insert for Findings from SER review]					
Site Visit Finding:		[Insert for Findings from Site Visit]					
Required Actions*:		[Insert for Findings of Needs Improvement or Inadequate]					
Comments:		[Insert Comments Regardless of Finding on the Program's Overall Compliance with this Standard, including consultative comments.]					
Program Response:							
ABPTRFE Decision:							

Adopted: 09/16/2024

Last Updated: 05/31/2025

Contact: resfel@apta.org